Next 9 Page(s) In Document Exempt



CENTRAL INIFILIBRIES AGENCY

Project , IP-19

OFFICE OF REPORTS AND ESTIMATES

Project Editation Jemoreadure

Paros 29 Sept 49

Tos B/EE (Int.cont)

From: Staff Intelligence Group, Projects Planning REL

Subjects Yugoslav military men

Statement of Project

Original Special

men, and to supplement the list as may seem advisable.

Assumptions:

Note that requester will get basic biographic data from BR in OCD, and requests from you such information as BR would not likely be able to provide; also that you will add to the list any whom you know to belong on it.

Clausification to be no hugger than: Top Secret

Form: IP memprandum

Dates: To G/SI for forwarding by 1200 on 4 October.

Branch resconsibilities B/EE only

Departmental responsibilities None

Recommended Disseringtion: Requester only

	TRANSMITTAL S'
	70: 29 Sept 49 DATE
	w3M
	BUILDING ROOM NO.
	REMARKS:
	1P-19 is concelled.
	See attacked B/EE
25X1A	note will pick
	up his request and peddle it elsewhere - presumably
	it elsewhere - nesumably
	OCD.
	FROM: OCI
	BUILDING ROOM NO. EXTENSION
	FORM NO. 36-8
•	SEP 1946 VV 8

SEGRET

18-19

28 September 1949

25X1A

MEMORANDUM FOR:

SUBJECT

Request for Intelligence Material

- 1. As of the middle of September, the following-named Yugoslav military men have not been mentioned on the Prayda "mirge list":
 - a. Col. Gen. Koca Popovich
 - b. Gen. Kost Nadaj
 - c. Gen. Lekich
 - d. Gen. Kupresanin

Are there others?

- 2. **Bi**ographical information. together with any pertinent data as to the present status and influence of these men, is also requested.
- 3. This information is desired by 30 September or as soon thereafter as possible.

25X1A





for straight bigg info (para 2) 2 am going direct to Bive Registry OCD

25X1A



Approved For Reverse 1999/092NFLARD TO 1049A000100010002-4

CEMERAL INTEGLIGENCE AGENCY

Project : IP-20

OFFICE OF REPORTS AND ESTIMATIS

Project Suitintion Report pour

Defer 29 Sept 49

To: B/WE

Pron: Staff Intelligence droup Projects Manning REC

Subjects Progress in Austrian negotiations

Statement of Froject

Origin: Special

From To provide current information on Austrian Treaty negotiations

Assumptions:

Scoper

Note that this calls for continuing information. We suggest that you may wish to return an initial report in response to this request on or about 5 October, and that supplementary reports might issue as supplements to IP-20 with such timing as you consider advisable.

Clausification to be no mover want Secret

Your IP memorandum and supplements

Dates: To be arranged

By Bound responsibilities: B/WE sair, in collaboration with B/EE where necessary

Departmental residence in the None

Recommended Dissemination Requester only

AD.

CONFIDENTIAL Approved For Release 1999/09/27 : CIA-RDP79T91049A909109010002-4

SEP 29 1949

Chief, Publications Division, ORE

21 October 1949

Chief, Western Europe Division, ORE

Initial Report on IP 20 - Current Information on the Austrian Treaty Negotiations

- I. In reply to the request cited above the following comments are submitted:
 - in detail the originator of IP-20 desires to follow in detail the oil exploration areas, pipeline, and refineries issues, as well as the detailed shipping and possibly rolling stock problems, it is assumed that a copy of the draft treaty has been obtained with its complete lists and annexes. Such a list would appear essential for any detailed study of the individual economic holdings involved in the negotiations.
 - b. It is assumed that current information submitted in answer to IP-20 will be read in conjunction with the draft treaty and its lists and annexes.
 - meeting in New York since 10 October. No change has been announced in the schedule calling for a report to the Foreign Ministers by 24 October.
 - d. The recent meetings have been largely concerned with Article 35, the German assets settlement. This article represents the most complex and pivotal problem in the writing of the treaty. Discussion of this article has included the following:
 - (1) General
 (1) Paragraph 6: The US agreed to withdraw reference to "industrial and transportation equipment held or claimed as war booty" but reserved the right to bring up rolling stock issue as another clause. The USSR agreed to insert "together with existing equipment" after "German assets". However, the Soviet delegate has steadfastly held that the deputies are not competent to discuss rolling stock.

- Soviet declaration that profits are to be determined in accordance with Austrian law and will only be those derived from use of these assets, US agreed to delete "net".
 Soviet deputy agreed to "i.e." before "rents".
- (2) Mat 1 has been agreed. The West TTTaccepted "50% of production" for item 4. Paragraph C deleted. Although the Soviet deputy has shown (11)ittle readiness to change the Soviet oil demands, he is still studying the western proposal to accept the Soviet List 2 minus a small area of Gross Entzersdorf. Refineries discussion are still inconclusive. Soviet deputy has refused inclusion in treaty of provision for common use of Lobau-Zistersdorf pipeline. Western powers are still insisting that Okeros and Mossbierbaum refineries should not be included in Soviet list. The West did agree to inclusion of Lobau-Raudnitza pipeline and Wife storage, however. List 4 has thus been agreed, but not List 3.
 - (5) Shipping
 (1) No agreement has been reached on duration of leases; problem is still being studied.
- . Negotiations on other articles have included:
 - (1) Article 16: The US agreed to delete "and refugees" provided clarification of paragraph 5 on DP's is made. The Soviet deputy withdrew previous objections to "voluntary" and "and refugees". He agreed consider clarifying paragraph 5 which he agreed would apply only to DP's if "and refugees" deleted.
 - (2) Article 26 and paragraph 2 of Article 27 have been agreed on the basis of Vishynsky's agreement. Soviet deputy stated that paragraph 3 of Article 27 (concerning foreign technicans) would cause no difficulty once other disputed provisions of treaty are agreed.
 - (3) Article 32 (war graves) has now been agreed.

- (4) The Soviet deputy has stated that Article 48 and 48 Bis would present no difficulty once agreement was achieved on German assets.
- In the areas of disagreement. Important aspects of the oil question remain unsettled, however, as do other factors affecting the derman assets. Agreement on arbitration procedures, finalization of the clauses concerning the relinquished plants, and the duration of the DDSG leases remain. It is highly undertain that the rolling stock issue can be discussed constructively. Soviet concessions do not represent any appreciable economic losses to the USSR; western efforts, while directed at reducing Soviet claims as much as possible, are also directed at effecting a treaty language which will be as clear as can be obtained and reduce the chances for future unilateral interpretations by the USSR.



Chief, Publications Division, ORE

22 November 1949

Chief, Western Europe Division, ORE

Second Report on IP 20 - Current Information on the Austrian Treaty Negotiations

- 1. In reply to the request cited above, the following comments are submitted:
 - a. Article 35, the German assets, has been agreed conditionally, and includes the following points:
 - (1) Paragraph 10: Western deputies agreed to drop their proposal for recourse to the UN Secretary General for appointment of a third arbiter. All deputies agreed that this paragraph does not apply to disputes between Austria and the western powers, but it does apply to Austro-Soviet disputes under Article 35.
 - (2) Paragraph 12: The West accepted the Soviet proposal.
 - (3) <u>Mst 5:</u> This is agreed as a result of western acceptance of the Soviet note to this list.
 - (4) Paragraph 7 (A): The West accepted the Seviet wording ("All former German assets which", etc).
 - the Soviet proposals. The West accepted
 - b. In reaching agreement on Article 35, the western deputies insisted that the Soviet deputy live up to his repeated assurances that other articles would present no difficulties.
 - (1) On Article 42, the Soviet deputy indicated indirectly that he could accept the Western position on paragraphs 1, 2, 3, and 8, and could drop the Soviet proposal for paragraph 9, for which he could not, however, accept the Western proposal.
 - (2) Article 48 BIS: Deferred at Soviet request until Article 48 is decided.

- (3) Article 48: Discussion adjourned until the outcome of Vienna negotiations between the Austrians and the Soviet element.
- (4) Article 16: The Soviet deputy refused to insert the word "displaced".
- (5) <u>Article 27</u> (paragraph 3): The Soviet deputy held to his previous position.
- (6) In general, the Soviet deputy stated that when Articles 16, 35, and 48 BIS were agreed, the USSR could agree on Articles 27 and 42, with the exception of 42, paragraph 9.
- 2. Thus, the western deputies have met conditionally the Soviet demands on Article 35, but have not yet been met, in turn, on their proposals regarding the other articles. The areas of disagreement have been narrowed considerably, but primarily as a result of western concessions. Further discussions will be concerned with articles having little impact on Soviet economic holdings in Austria. Paragraph 9 of Article 42 is opposed by the USSR as contradicting paragraph 7 (A) of Article 35, the Soviet opposition appears to be largely due to sensitivity rather than to actual economic concern.

25X1A CI SS VON 8

